IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

TURONN LEWIS.

Petitioner.

v. CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:17-CV-87 (BAILEY)

S. KALLIS, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James P. Mazzone [Doc. 9]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Mazzone for submission of a proposed report and recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Mazzone filed his R&R on October 22, 2018, wherein he recommends petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Docs. 1, 7] be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the right

to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363,

1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here,

objections to Magistrate Judge Mazzone's R&R were due within fourteen (14) days of service,

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The docket reflects that service

was accepted on October 25, 2018 [Doc. 10]. To date, no objections have been filed.

Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the Report and

Recommendation [Doc. 9] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the reasons

more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, this Court **ORDERS** that the

petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Docs. 1, 7] be

DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction. This Court further ORDERS that this matter be

STRICKEN from the active docket of this Court and **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment

in favor of respondent.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record herein

and to mail a copy to the pro se petitioner.

DATED: November 14, 2018.

TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2